

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,462	DONOHO ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDWARD CHANG	3691	

All Participants:

(1) EDWARD CHANG

(2) Charles W. Gray

Date of Interview: 4 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

71 and 72

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed about the narrowing down claim 71 by adding a element from claim 72. Applicant agreed to make the amendment. And agreed to cancel claim 1-70, 73-79.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5 PM

/Lalita M Hamilton/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)